arXiv:1203.5742v1 [cs.IT] 26 Mar 2012

G-equivalence in group algebras and minimal abelian codes

Raul Antonio Ferraz, Marinês Guerreiro, and César Polcino Milies,

***Abstract*—Let G be a finite abelian group and $\mathbb{F}$ a field such that $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{F}) \nmid |G|$. Denote by $\mathbb{F}G$ the group algebra of G over $\mathbb{F}$. A (semisimple) abelian code is an ideal of $\mathbb{F}G$. Two codes $\mathcal{I}_1$ and $\mathcal{I}_2$ of $\mathbb{F}G$ are G-*equivalent* if there exists an automorphism ψ of G whose linear extension to $\mathbb{F}G$ maps $\mathcal{I}_1$ onto $\mathcal{I}_2$.**

In this paper we give a necessary and sufficient condition for minimal abelian codes to be G-equivalent and show how to correct some results in the literature.

***Index Terms*—group algebra, G-equivalence, primitive idempotent, abelian codes.**

I. INTRODUCTION

LET G be a finite group and $\mathbb{F}$ a finite field such that $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{F}) \nmid |G|$. Two ideals $\mathcal{I}_1$ and $\mathcal{I}_2$ of the group algebra $\mathbb{F}G$ are said to be G-***equivalent*** if there exists an automorphism ψ of G whose linear extension to $\mathbb{F}G$ maps $\mathcal{I}_1$ onto $\mathcal{I}_2$.

This definition was introduced R.L. Miller [9] in the context of Coding Theory. S.D. Berman [1] and, independently, F.J. MacWilliams [8] defined abelian codes as ideals in finite abelian group algebras and R.L. Miller [9] used G-equivalence to compare codes with the same weight distribution.

In this paper, we address the problem of determining G-equivalence of minimal ideals in semisimple abelian group algebras and prove that the G-equivalence classes of minimal ideals depend on the structure of the lattice of the subgroups of G.

In Section II we prove preliminary results about idempotents and in Section III we establish a correspondence between G-equivalence classes of minimal abelian ideals in $\mathbb{F}G$ and certain isomorphism classes of subgroups of G. In Section IV, we use these facts to show that some of the results of [9] are not correct and, in the final section, we exhibit particular cases for which such results hold.

II. SUBGROUPS AND IDEMPOTENTS

The irreducible central idempotents of the rational group algebra $\mathbb{Q}G$ were computed in [5, Theorem 1.4] in the case when G is abelian; in [7, Theorem 2.1] when G is nilpotent; in [10, Theorem 4.4] when G is abelian-by-supersolvable and in [2, Theorem 7] an algorithm to write the primitive idempotents in given.

In what follows, we shall establish a correspondence between primitive idempotents of $\mathbb{F}G$ and certain subgroups of G.

Definition II.1. *Let G be a group. A subgroup H of G is said a **co-cyclic subgroup** if the factor group $G/H \neq 1$ is cyclic.*

We use the notation

$$\mathcal{S}_{cc}(G) = \{H \mid H \text{ is a co-cyclic subgroup of } G\}.$$

We recall the following results that are used throughout this paper.

Let G be a finite abelian p-group and $\mathbb{F}$ a field such that $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{F}) \nmid |G|$. Given a subgroup H of G, denote $\widehat{H} = \frac{1}{|H|}\sum_{h\in H} h$ and, for an element $x \in G$, set $\widehat{x} = \widehat{\langle x\rangle}$. For each co-cyclic subgroup H of G, we can construct an idempotent of $\mathbb{F}G$. In fact, we remark that, since G/H is a cyclic p-group, there exists a unique subgroup $H^\sharp$ of G containing H such that $|H^\sharp/H| = p$. Then $e_H = \widehat{H} - \widehat{H^\sharp}$ is an idempotent and we consider the set

$$\{\widehat{G}\} \cup \{e_H = \widehat{H} - \widehat{H^\sharp} \mid H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G), G/H \neq \{1\}\}. \tag{1}$$

In the case of a rational abelian group algebra $\mathbb{Q}G$, the set above is the set of primitive central idempotents [5, Theorem 1.4]. Also, the following results holds.

Theorem II.2. [3, Lemma 5] *Let p be a prime rational integer and G a finite abelian group of exponent p^n and $\mathbb{F}_q$ a finite field such that $p \nmid q$. Then* (1) *is a set of pairwise orthogonal idempotents of $\mathbb{F}_qG$ whose sum is equal to* 1.

Theorem II.3. [3, Theorem 4.1] *Under the hypotheses above, the set* (1) *is the set of primitive idempotents of $\mathbb{F}_qG$ if and only if $o(\bar{q}) = \phi(p^n)$ in $U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n})$, where φ denotes Euler´s totient function.*

We shall repeatedly use the following rather obvious fact.

Lemma II.4. *Let G be a finite abelian p-group and $H \leq G$. Then G/H is a cyclic group if and only if there exists a unique subgroup L such that $H < L \leq G$ and $[L : H] = p$.*

In the sequel, for a finite abelian group G, we write $G = G_{p_1} \times \cdots \times G_{p_t}$, where G_{p_i} denotes the p_i-Sylow subgroup of G, for the distinct positive prime numbers $p_1, \ldots, p_t$.

Lemma II.5. *Let $G = G_{p_1} \times \cdots \times G_{p_t}$ be a finite abelian group and $H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$. Write $H = H_{p_1} \times \cdots \times H_{p_t}$, where H_{p_i} is the p_i-Sylow subgroup of H. Then each subgroup H_{p_i} is co-cyclic in G_{p_i}, $1 \leq i \leq t$.*

R.A. Ferraz and C. Polcino Milies are with the Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo, Caixa Postal 66281, CEP 05314-970 - São Paulo - SP (Brasil), supported by CNPq, Proc. 300243/79-0(RN) and FAPESP, Proc. 09/52665-0. E-mail: raul@ime.usp.br and polcino@ime.usp.br.

M. Guerreiro is with Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, CEP 36570-000 - Viçosa-MG (Brasil), supported by CAPES, PROCAD 915/2010 and FAPEMIG, APQ CEX 00438-08. E-mail: marines@ufv.br.

This work was presented in part at the 2011 IEEE Information Theory Workshop (ITW), Paraty-RJ (Brasil) 16-20 October, 2011.

Manuscript received ???, 20012; revised ???, 2012.

Proof: For $H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$, the quotient $G/H \cong G_{p_1}/H_{p_1} \times \cdots \times G_{p_t}/H_{p_t}$ is cyclic, hence each factor G_{p_i}/H_{p_i} must be cyclic. Therefore, $H_{p_i} \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G_{p_i})$, $1 \le i \le t$. ∎

With the notation above, for each $H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$, define an idempotent $e_H \in \mathbb{F}G$ as follows. For each $1 \le i \le t$, either $H_{p_i} = G_{p_i}$ or there exists a unique subgroup $H^\sharp_{p_i}$ such that $[H^\sharp_{p_i} : H_{p_i}] = p_i$. Thus, let $e_{H_{p_i}} = \widehat{G_{p_i}}$ or $e_{H_{p_i}} = \widehat{H_{p_i}} - \widehat{H^\sharp_{p_i}}$, respectively, and define

$$e_H = e_{H_{p_1}} e_{H_{p_2}} \cdots e_{H_{p_t}}. \tag{2}$$

For any other $K \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$, with $K \neq H$, we have $K_{p_i} \neq H_{p_i}$, for some $1 \le i \le t$, hence $e_{H_{p_i}} e_{K_{p_i}} = 0$ and so $e_H e_K = 0$. Thus, we have the following.

Proposition II.6. *Let G be a finite abelian group and $\mathbb{F}$ a field such that $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F}) \not| \, |G|$. Then*

$$\mathcal{B} = \{e_H \mid H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)\}$$

is a set of orthogonal idempotents of $\mathbb{F}G$.

A similar construction of idempotents for rational group algebras of abelian groups is given in [5, Section VII.1]. For the rational case, these idempotents are primitive while for finite fields this is usually not true.

To study the G-equivalence of ideals, we need to understand how the group of automorphisms $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$ acts on the lattice of the subgroups of G and hence on the idempotents in the group algebra which arise from these subgroups. From now on, we use the same notation for an automorphism of the group G and its linear extension to the group algebra $\mathbb{F}G$.

Lemma II.7. *Let G be a finite abelian group, $H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$ and e_H its corresponding idempotent defined as in* (2)*. Then, for any $\psi \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$, we have $\psi(e_H) = e_{\psi(H)}$.*

Proof: By Lemma II.5, $H = H_{p_1} \times H_{p_2} \times \cdots \times H_{p_t}$, where H_{p_i} is the p_i-Sylow subgroup of H which is co-cyclic in G_{p_i} (the p_i-Sylow subgroup of G), for each $1 \le i \le t$. Since $\psi \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$, $\psi(H) = \psi(H_{p_1}) \times \psi(H_{p_2}) \times \cdots \times \psi(H_{p_t})$. Then each $\psi(H_{p_i})$ is the p_i-Sylow subgroup of $\psi(H)$ and is also co-cyclic in G_{p_i}. Hence $\psi(H^\sharp_{p_i}) = \psi(H)^\sharp_{p_i}$ and the result follows. ∎

Lemma II.8. *Let G be a finite abelian group and $\mathbb{F}$ a field such that $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F}) \not| \, |G|$. Then, in the group algebra $\mathbb{F}G$, we have:*

$$1 = \widehat{G} + \sum_{H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)} e_H. \tag{3}$$

Proof: Let $G = G_{p_1} \times G_{p_2} \times \cdots \times G_{p_t}$, with G_{p_i} the p_i-Sylow subgroup of G, $1 \le i \le t$. By Theorem II.2, we have

$$1 = \widehat{G}_{p_i} + \sum_{H_{p_i} \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G_{p_i})} (\widehat{H_{p_i}} - \widehat{H^\sharp_{p_i}}). \tag{4}$$

Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \prod_{i=1}^{t} \left(\widehat{G}_{p_i} + \sum_{H_{p_i} \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G_{p_i})} (\widehat{H_{p_i}} - \widehat{H^\sharp_{p_i}}) \right) \\ &= \sum e_{H_{p_1}} e_{H_{p_2}} \cdots e_{H_{p_t}}, \end{aligned}$$

where either $e_{H_{p_i}} = \widehat{G_{p_i}}$ or $e_{H_{p_i}} = \widehat{H_{p_i}} - \widehat{H^\sharp_{p_i}}$, $1 \le i \le t$. Therefore,

$$1 = \widehat{G} + \sum_{H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)} e_H.$$

∎

Lemma II.9. *Let G be a finite abelian group and $\mathbb{F}$ a field such that $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F}) \not| \, |G|$. For each primitive idempotent $e \in \mathbb{F}G$, there exists a unique $H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$ such that $e \cdot e_H = e$ and $e \cdot e_K = 0$, for any other $K \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$.*

Proof: By Lemma II.8, $1 = \widehat{G} + \sum_{H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)} e_H$. Multiplying by e, we have:

$$e = e\left(\widehat{G} + \sum_{H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)} e_H\right) = e \cdot \widehat{G} + \sum_{H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)} e \cdot e_H. \tag{5}$$

As $e_H \cdot e_K = 0$, for $H \neq K$ co-cyclic subgroups of G, the right hand side of (5) is a sum of orthogonal idempotents. Therefore, as e is a primitive idempotent, only one summand is non-zero and this proves the lemma. ∎

Set:

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}G) = \{e \in \mathbb{F}G \mid e \text{ is a primitive idempotent in } \mathbb{F}G\}.$$

Under the same hypotheses of Lemma II.9, the following map is well-defined:

$$\begin{array}{rcccc} \Phi & : & \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}G) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G) \\ & & e & \longmapsto & \Phi(e) = H_e, \end{array} \tag{6}$$

where H_e is the unique co-cyclic subgroup of G such that $e \cdot e_{H_e} = e$.

Theorem II.10. *Let G be a finite abelian group, $\mathbb{F}$ a field such that $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F}) \not| \, |G|$ and $H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$. Then e_H is the sum of all primitive idempotents $e \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}G)$ such that $\Phi(e) = H$.*

Proof: Write $1 = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}G)} e$. Then

$$e_H = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}G)} e_H e = \sum_{\Phi(e) \neq H} e_H e + \sum_{\Phi(e) = H} e_H e = \sum_{\Phi(e) = H} e.$$

∎

Remark II.11. *For a primitive idempotent $e \in \mathbb{F}G$ and its correspondent subgroup $H = H_e$, as in* (6)*, we have:*

$$e\widehat{K} = e \Leftrightarrow K \le H \quad \text{and} \quad e\widehat{K} = 0 \Leftrightarrow K \not\le H.$$

Proof: By (2), $e_H = e_{H_1} e_{H_2} \cdots e_{H_t}$, where either $e_{H_i} = \widehat{G_i}$ or $e_{H_i} = \widehat{H_i} - \widehat{H^\sharp_i}$, where H_i denotes the p_i-Sylow of H, $1 \le i \le t$.

Let $K \le H$. Then $K_i \le H_i \le H^\sharp_i$, where K_i is the p_i-Sylow of K. Hence $\widehat{K_i}\widehat{H_i} = \widehat{H_i}$ and $\widehat{K_i}\widehat{H^\sharp_i} = \widehat{H^\sharp_i}$. Thus either $\widehat{K_i}\widehat{G_i} = \widehat{G_i}$ or $\widehat{K_i} e_{H_i} = \widehat{K_i}(\widehat{H_i} - \widehat{H^\sharp_i}) = \widehat{H_i} - \widehat{H^\sharp_i} = e_{H_i}$. Therefore,

$$e\widehat{K} = e e_H \widehat{K} = e(e_{H_1} e_{H_2} \cdots e_{H_t})(\widehat{K_1}\widehat{K_2} \cdots \widehat{K_t}) = e e_H = e.$$

If $K \not\le H$, then there exists at least one $K_i \not\le H_i$. In this case, $H^\sharp_i \subset K_i H_i$, hence $\widehat{K_i}\widehat{H^\sharp_i} = \widehat{K_i}\widehat{H_i}$ and $\widehat{K_i} e_{H_i} = \widehat{K_i}(\widehat{H_i} - \widehat{H^\sharp_i}) = \widehat{K_i}\widehat{H_i} - \widehat{K_i}\widehat{H_i} = 0$. This proves the remark. ∎

Proposition II.12. *Let G be a finite abelian group and $\mathbb{F}$ a field such that* $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{F}) \not| \ |G|$. *If $e \in \mathbb{F}G$ is a primitive idempotent, then $Ge \cong G/H_e$.*

Proof: It is clear that Ge is a group. Consider $\pi : G \longrightarrow Ge$ given by $\pi(g) = ge$. Clearly $H_e \subset ker(\pi)$. For $g \in ker(\pi)$, $\pi(g) = e$ implies $ge = e$, hence $\widehat{\langle g \rangle} e = e$ and, by Remark II.11, $\langle g \rangle \subset H_e$. Therefore, $g \in H_e$ and $ker(\pi) = H_e$, proving the lemma. ■

Let G be a finite abelian group. We recall that its **character group** is $G^* = \mathrm{Hom}\,(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$, with multiplication defined by $(f \cdot g)(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x)$, for all $f,\, g \in G^*$ and $x \in G$. Also for a subgroup H of G, define

$$H^\perp = \{f \in G^* | f(h) = 1, \text{ for all } h \in H\}.$$

The following facts on character groups can be found in [12, Chapter 10] and will be used in the next sections.

Theorem II.13. *Let G be a finite abelian group. Then:*

(1) $G^* \cong G$.

(2) If $H \leq G$, then G contains a subgroup isomorphic to G/H.

(3) $H^\perp$ is a subgroup of G^* and $H^\perp \cong (G/H)^*$.

(4) Let $\mathcal{S}(G)$ be the set of all subgroups of a group G. Then the map

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \Psi & : & \mathcal{S}(G) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}(G^*) \\ & & H & \longmapsto & H^\perp \end{array}$$

is a bijection and satisfies:

(a) If $H \subset K$ then $H^\perp \supset K^\perp$ (with proper inclusion preserved).

(b) If H is a cyclic subgroup of order p^s in a finite abelian p-group G of exponent p^r, with $r \geq s$, then $H^\perp$ is a co-cyclic subgroup of G such that $G^*/H^\perp \cong C_{p^s} \cong H$.

(c) If H is a co-cyclic subgroup such that $G/H \cong C_{p^s}$ in a finite abelian p-group G of exponent p^r, with $r \geq s$, then $H^\perp$ is a cyclic subgroup isomorphic to C_{p^s}.

III. G-ISOMORPHISMS OF SUBGROUPS

We say that two subgroups H and K of a group G are ***G-isomorphic*** if there exists an automorphism $\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ such that $\psi(H) = K$.

Notice that isomorphic subgroups are not necessarily G-isomorphic. For example, for a prime p, if $G = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$ with $o(a) = p^2$ and $o(b) = p$, then $\langle a^p \rangle$ and $\langle b \rangle$ are isomorphic but not G-isomorphic, since $\langle b \rangle$ is contained properly only in $\langle a^p \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$ and $\langle a^p \rangle$ is contained in $\langle a \rangle$ and in $\langle a^i b \rangle$, for all $1 \leq i \leq p-1$.

For finite abelian groups, Propositions III.1, III.6 and III.7 below establish a correspondence between G-equivalent minimal ideals and G-isomorphic subgroups.

Proposition III.1. *Let G be a finite abelian group and $\mathbb{F}$ a field such that* $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{F}) \not| \ |G|$. *If $e,\, e_1 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}G)$ are such that $\psi(e) = e_1$, for some automorphism $\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ linearly extended to $\mathbb{F}G$, then*

$$\psi(H_e) = H_{\psi(e)} = H_{e_1},$$

i.e., H_e and H_{e_1} are G-isomorphic.

Proof: Given $e,\, e_1 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}G)$, from Lemma II.9, there exist $H_e,\, H_{e_1} \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$ such that

$$e \cdot e_{H_e} = e \qquad \text{and} \qquad e_1 \cdot e_{H_{e_1}} = e_1.$$

Hence, by Lemma II.7,

$$\psi(e) = \psi(e \cdot e_{H_e}) = \psi(e)\psi(e_{H_e}) = \psi(e) \cdot e_{\psi(H_e)}. \tag{7}$$

Since $\psi(e)$ is also a primitive idempotent in $\mathbb{F}G$, Lemma II.9 shows that there exists a unique subgroup in G satisfying (7), hence $\psi(H_e) = H_{\psi(e)}$. As $\psi(e) = e_1$, we have $\psi(H_e) = H_{e_1}$. ■

The converse of the Proposition III.1 is also true and it will be proved in Proposition III.7 as we still need more information. We set

$$\mathcal{L}\mathrm{Aut}(G) = \{\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}(G) \,|\, \psi(H) = H, \text{ for all } H \leq G\}.$$

Lemma III.2. *Let G be a finite abelian group, $g \in G$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\gcd(r, o(g)) = 1$. Then there exists $\psi \in \mathcal{L}\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ such that $\psi(g) = g^r$.*

Proof: We can write $|G| = k \cdot s$ such that k and $o(g)$ have the same prime divisors and $\gcd(s,\, o(g)) = \gcd(k,\, s) = 1$. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, there exists $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying

$$x \equiv r \,(\mod k) \qquad \text{and} \qquad x \equiv 1 \,(\mod s).$$

This implies $\gcd(x,\, k) = \gcd(x,\, s) = 1$, hence $\gcd(x,\, |G|) = 1$. Therefore, defining $\psi : G \longrightarrow G$ by $\psi(h) = h^x$, we have $\psi \in \mathcal{L}\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ and $\psi(g) = g^x = g^r$. ■

Lemma III.3. *Let G be a finite abelian group and $\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$. Then $\psi \in \mathcal{L}\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ if and only if there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\gcd(r, |G|) = 1$ and $\psi(g) = g^r$, for all $g \in G$.*

Proof: Suppose $\psi \in \mathcal{L}\mathrm{Aut}(G)$. For each $g \in G$, we have $\psi(\langle g \rangle) = \langle g \rangle$, hence $\psi(g) = g^{r_g}$, for some $r_g \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\gcd(r_g, |G|) = 1$.

Write $G \cong C_{s_1} \times C_{s_2} \times \cdots \times C_{s_t}$, with $C_{s_j} = \langle a_j \rangle$ of order $s_j | |G|$ and $s_{j+1} | s_j$, $1 \leq j \leq t$. Thus $\psi(a_j) = a_j^{r_j}$, with $\gcd(r_j, |G|) = 1$, $1 \leq j \leq t$. As $s_j | s_1$, then $r_j \equiv r_1 \,(\mod s_j)$, $1 \leq j \leq t$, and $r_g \equiv r_1 \,(\mod s_j)$, $1 \leq j \leq t$. Therefore, we can take $r_g = r_1$. This proves the lemma, since the converse is clear. ■

Lemma III.4. *Let G be a finite abelian group and $\mathbb{F}$ a field such that* $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{F}) \not| \ |G|$. *Then $\mathcal{B} = \{e_H \,|\, H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)\}$ is both a basis for the algebra*

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}G \,|\, \psi(\alpha) = \alpha, \ \textit{for all} \ \ \psi \in \mathcal{L}\mathrm{Aut}(G)\}$$

and the set of primitive idempotents of $\mathcal{A}$.

Proof: For an element $g \in G$, set

$$\gamma_g = \sum_{\substack{\gcd(i, o(g)) = 1 \\ 0 \leq i \leq o(g)}} g^i.$$

We claim that $\gamma_g \in \mathcal{A}$, for all $g \in G$. Indeed, by Lemma III.3, for each $\psi \in \mathcal{L}\mathrm{Aut}(G)$, there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\gcd(r,\, |G|) = 1$ and $\psi(h) = h^r$, for all $h \in G$. Hence

$$\psi(\gamma_g) = \sum_{\substack{\gcd(i, o(g)) = 1 \\ 0 \leq i \leq o(g)}} g^{ir} = \gamma_g.$$

It is easy to see that given two elements $g_1,\, g_2 \in G$, either $\gamma_{g_1} = \gamma_{g_2}$ or they have disjoint supports in $\mathbb{F}G$.

Let $\Gamma = \{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_s\}$ be a complete set of elements in G such that $\gamma_{g_i} \neq \gamma_{g_j}$, for $i \neq j$.

We claim that $\{\gamma_g \,|\, g \in \Gamma\}$ is an $\mathbb{F}$-basis of $\mathcal{A}$. Indeed, by considering the respective supports, it is clear that the set $\{\gamma_g \,|\, g \in \Gamma\}$ is linearly independent. Now given $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ and $g \in G$, the coefficients of g and g^r in α, for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\gcd(r,\, o(g)) = 1$, must all be equal, by Lemma III.2. Thus, we may write:

$$\alpha = a_{g_1}\gamma_{g_1} + a_{g_2}\gamma_{g_2} + \cdots + a_{g_s}\gamma_{g_s},$$

hence $\{\gamma_g \,|\, g \in \Gamma\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{A}$.

Notice that there exists a bijection between the set of cyclic subgroups of G and the set $\{\gamma_g \,|\, g \in \Gamma\}$. By Theorem II.13, there exists also a bijection between the set of the cyclic subgroups of G and $\mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$, hence $\dim \mathcal{A} = |\mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)|$.

By Lemma II.7, it is clear that $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$. In order to prove that $\mathcal{B}$ is linearly independent in $\mathbb{F}G$, assume that

$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)} a_K\, e_K = 0, \tag{8}$$

with $a_K \in \mathbb{F}$. Multiplying (8) by e_H, for a fixed $H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$, we get $a_H\, e_H = 0$, implying $a_H = 0$, for all $H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{B}$ is linearly independent in $\mathbb{F}G$ and thus a basis for $\mathcal{A}$.

For an element $e_H \in \mathcal{B}$, assume, by way of contradiction, that $e_H = e_1 + e_2$, with e_1 and e_2 orthogonal idempotents of $\mathcal{A}$. As $\mathcal{B}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{A}$, we can write

$$e_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} b_{ji} e_{H_j}, \quad \text{with } e_{H_j} \in \mathcal{B},\ b_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}, 1 \le j \le n,\ i = 1, 2.$$

By Proposition II.6 we have either $e_{H_{j_0}} e_H = e_H$ (when $H_{j_0} = H$) or $e_{H_j} e_H = 0$, for $j \neq j_0$. Hence $e_i e_H = b_{j_0} e_H$, if $H_{j_0} = H$, for some j_0 or $e_i e_H = 0$, otherwise, $i = 1, 2$. As $e_H = e_H(e_1 + e_2)$, we have that either $e_1 = 0$ or $e_2 = e_H$ or $e_1 = e_H$ and $e_2 = 0$. Therefore, e_H is primitive in $\mathcal{A}$.

To finish the proof, write $1 = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)} a_H e_H$, with $a_H \in \mathbb{F}$. For $K \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$, multiply by e_K and get $e_K = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)} a_H e_K e_H = a_K e_K$ implying $a_K = 1$, for all $K \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$.

■

Remark III.5. *Notice that Lemma III.4 shows that*

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{e_H \in \mathcal{B}} \mathbb{F} \cdot e_H.$$

Proposition III.6. *Let G be a finite abelian group and $\mathbb{F}$ a field such that* $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F}) \nmid |G|$. *If $e_1,\, e_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}G)$ and $H_{e_1} = H_{e_2}$, then there exists an automorphism $\psi \in \mathcal{L}\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ whose linear extension to $\mathbb{F}G$ maps e_1 to e_2.*

Proof: Let $H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$ be such that $e_1 e_H = e_1$, that is, $H_{e_1} = H$. By Theorem II.10 have

$$e_H = \sum_{H_f = H} f, \qquad \text{where } f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}G). \tag{9}$$

By Proposition III.1, for any $\psi \in \mathcal{L}\mathrm{Aut}(G)$, we have

$$\psi(e_1)\psi(e_H) = \psi(e_1)e_{\psi(H)} = \psi(e_1)e_H = \psi(e_1). \tag{10}$$

Let

$$e_0 = \sum \psi(e_1), \tag{11}$$

where the sum runs over all distinct images of e_1 by $\psi \in \mathcal{L}\mathrm{Aut}(G)$. Then e_0 is an idempotent and $\varphi(e_0) = e_0$, for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}\mathrm{Aut}(G)$, that is, $e_0 \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence, by Lemma III.4,

$$e_0 = \sum_{e_H \in \mathcal{B}} a_H\, e_H.$$

By construction of e_0 and (10), we have $e_0 e_H = e_0$ and $e_0 e_K = 0$, for all $K \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$ with $K \neq H$. As e_H is a primitive idempotent of $\mathcal{A}$, it follows $e_0 = e_H$.

Now comparing the expression of e_H in (9) and (11), by the uniqueness of the sum of primitive idempotents, each f in the sum (9) is such that $f = \psi(e_1)$, for some $\psi \in \mathcal{L}\mathrm{Aut}(G)$. As e_2 is one of the idempotents in (9), the result follows. ■

Proposition III.7. *Let G be a finite abelian group and $\mathbb{F}$ a field such that* $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F}) \nmid |G|$. *If $e_1,\, e_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}G)$ are such that $\psi(H_{e_1}) = H_{e_2}$, for some $\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$, then there exists an automorphism $\theta \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ whose linear extension to $\mathbb{F}G$ maps e_1 and e_2, i.e., the ideals of $\mathbb{F}G$ generated by e_1 and e_2 are G-equivalent.*

Proof: Since $\psi(H_{e_1}) = H_{e_2}$, for $\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$, by Lemma II.7, we have

$$\psi(e_1)\, e_{H_{e_2}} = \psi(e_1)\, \psi(e_{H_{e_1}}) = \psi(e\, e_{H_{e_1}}) = \psi(e_1).$$

Hence, by the uniqueness, we have $H_{\psi(e_1)} = H_{e_2}$. Now, by Proposition III.6, there exists a local automorphism $\delta \in \mathcal{L}\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ such that $\delta(\psi(e_1)) = e_2$. Therefore, taking $\theta = \delta\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$, the result follows. ■

IV. APPLICATIONS TO CODING THEORY

We denote by C_n the cyclic group of order n. In the context of Coding Theory, the following results appear in [9].

Theorem A [9, Theorem 3.6] *Let G be a finite abelian group of odd order and exponent n and denote by $\tau(n)$ the number of divisors of n. Then there exist precisely $\tau(n)$ non G-equivalent minimal abelian codes in $\mathbb{F}_2G$.*

Theorem B [9, Theorem 3.9] *Let G be a finite abelian group of odd order. Then two minimal abelian codes in $\mathbb{F}_2G$ are G-equivalent if and only if they have the same weight distribution.*

Unfortunately these statements are not correct. The errors arise from the assumption, implicit in the last paragraph of [9, p. 167], that if e and f are primitive idempotents of $\mathbb{F}_2C_m$ and $\mathbb{F}_2C_n$, respectively, then ef is a primitive idempotent of $\mathbb{F}_2[C_m \times C_n]$.

We exhibit below counterexamples to both Theorems A and B that were first communicated in [4]. However, Theorem A does hold under certain restrictive hypotheses, as we show in the next section.

Proposition IV.1. *Let p be an odd prime such that $\bar{2}$ generates $U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2})$ and $G = \langle a\rangle \times \langle b\rangle$ an abelian group, with $o(a) = p^2$ and $o(b) = p$. Then $\mathbb{F}_2G$ has four inequivalent minimal codes, namely, the ones generated by the idempotents $e_0 = \widehat{G}$, $e_1 = \widehat{b} - \widehat{\langle a^p\rangle \times \langle b\rangle}$, $e_2 = \widehat{a} - \widehat{G}$ and $e_3 = \widehat{\langle a^p\rangle \times \langle b\rangle} - \widehat{G}$.*

Also all minimal codes of $\mathbb{F}_2G$ are described in the following table with their dimension and weight.

Code	Primitive Idempotent	Dimension	Weight
I_0	$e_0 = \widehat{a}\widehat{b} = \widehat{G}$	1	p^3
I_1	$e_1 = \widehat{b} - \widehat{\langle a^p\rangle \times \langle b\rangle}$	$p^2 - p$	$2p$
I_{1j}	$e_{1j} = \widehat{a^{jp}b} - \widehat{\langle a^p\rangle \times \langle b\rangle}$ $j = 1, \ldots, p-1$	$p^2 - p$	$2p$
I_2	$e_2 = \widehat{a} - \widehat{G}$	$p - 1$	$2p^2$
I_{2i}	$e_{2i} = \widehat{ab^i} - \widehat{G}$ $i = 1, \ldots, p-1$	$p - 1$	$2p^2$
I_3	$e_3 = \widehat{\langle a^p\rangle \times \langle b\rangle} - \widehat{G}$	$p - 1$	$2p^2$

Proof: In order to use Theorem II.3, first we need to find all subgroups H of G such that G/H is cyclic. Notice that the $p+1$ distinct subgroups of order p^2 of G are $\langle ab^i\rangle$, for $i = 0, \ldots, p-1$, and $\langle a^p\rangle \times \langle b\rangle$. The $p+1$ distinct subgroups of order p of G are $\langle a^{jp}b\rangle$, for $j = 0, \ldots, p-1$, and $\langle a^p\rangle$. The subgroups $\langle a^{jp}b\rangle$, for all $j = 0, \ldots, p-1$, are contained only in $\langle a^p\rangle \times \langle b\rangle$ and $\langle a^p\rangle$ is contained in all subgroups of order p^2. Besides, all quotients of G by these subgroups are cyclic, except $G/\langle a^p\rangle$ which is the unique noncyclic quotient of G. The quotient of G by $\langle a^p\rangle \times \langle b\rangle$ is also cyclic.

Now applying Theorem II.3, we have the following minimal codes generated by primitive idempotents.

The code $I_0 = \mathbb{F}_2G \cdot e_0$, where $e_0 = \widehat{G}$ and $\dim I_0 = 1$.

As $\langle b\rangle$ is uniquely contained in $\langle a^p\rangle \times \langle b\rangle$, we have $I_1 = \mathbb{F}_2G \cdot e_1$, where $e_1 = \widehat{b} - \widehat{\langle a^p\rangle \times \langle b\rangle}$, and $\dim I_1 = \phi(p^2) = p^2 - p$. The codes $I_{1j} = \mathbb{F}_2G \cdot e_{1j}$, where $e_{1j} = \widehat{a^{jp}b} - \widehat{\langle a^p\rangle \times \langle b\rangle}$, for all $j = 1, \ldots, p-1$, are all equivalent to I_1, since the extension to the group algebra $\mathbb{F}_2G$ of the isomorphism $\psi_j : G \to G$ given by $\psi(a) = a$ and $\psi(b) = a^{jp}b$, for each j, maps I_1 onto I_{1j}.

Let $I_2 = \mathbb{F}_2G \cdot e_2$, where $e_2 = \widehat{a} - \widehat{G}$, and $I_3 = \mathbb{F}_2G \cdot e_3$, where $e_3 = \widehat{\langle a^p\rangle \times \langle b\rangle} - \widehat{G}$. We have $\dim I_3 = \dim I_2 = \phi(p) = p - 1$. We also have the codes $I_{2i} = \mathbb{F}_2G \cdot e_{2i}$, where $e_{2i} = \widehat{ab^i} - \widehat{G}$, for $i = 1, \ldots, p-1$, all equivalent to I_2 with corresponding isomorphism $\varphi_i : G \to G$ given by $\varphi(a) = ab^i$ and $\varphi(b) = b$.

We prove now that the codes I_k, with $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$, are four inequivalent minimal codes in $\mathbb{F}_2G$.

It is obvious that I_0 is not equivalent to any of the other codes I_k, for $k \neq 0$, and also that I_1 is not equivalent to either I_2 or I_3. Let us prove that I_2 and I_3 are inequivalent.

Notice that $supp(e_2) = G \setminus \langle a\rangle$, which contains elements of order p, and $supp(e_3) = G \setminus \langle a^p\rangle \times \langle b\rangle$, which only contains elements of order p^2. Hence, if there is an isomorphism $\psi : G \to G$ such that $\psi(e_2) = e_3$, we would have elements of order p being mapped to elements of order p^2, a contradiction. Therefore, I_2 is not equivalent to I_3.

It is clear that the minimal code I_0 has weight p^3, as all its nonzero elements have this weight.

For $1 \leq j \neq k \leq p-1$, as $supp(a^{jp}\widehat{b}) \cap supp(a^{kp}\widehat{b}) = \emptyset$, the element $(a^{jp} + a^{kp})e_1 = (a^{jp} + a^{kp})\widehat{b}$ is in I_1 and has weight $2p$. Notice that $I_1 \subset \mathbb{F}_2G \cdot \widehat{b}$, thus the weight of any element of I_1 must be a multiple of p. Hence, if there is an element in I_1 of weight p, it should be of the form $a^i\widehat{b}$. But $a^i\widehat{b} \cdot e_1 = a^i(a^p + a2p + \cdots + a^{(p-1)p})\widehat{b} \neq a^i\widehat{b}$ which implies $a^i\widehat{b} \notin I_1$, for any $1 \leq i$. Therefore, the weight of I_1 is $2p$.

The weights of I_2 and I_3 will follow from the proof of the next proposition. ■

Proposition IV.2. *The (inequivalent) minimal codes I_2 and I_3 of Proposition IV.1 have the same weight distribution.*

Proof: An $\mathbb{F}_2$-basis for the code I_2 is

$$\beta = \{\mu_i = (\hat{G} - \widehat{a})b^i = \hat{G} - \hat{a}b^i | 1 \leq i \leq p-1\}.$$

For $1 \leq i \neq j \leq p-1$, we have $supp(\hat{a}b^i) \cap supp(\hat{a}b^j) = \emptyset$. Hence, for an element $\alpha \in I_2$, we have:

Case 1. α is a sum of an even number of μ_i's. Thus

$$\alpha = \mu_{i_1} + \cdots + \mu_{i_{2k}} = 2k\widehat{G} - \hat{a}(b^{i_1} + \cdots + b^{i_{2k}}),$$

which is an element of weight $2kp^2$. Besides, in I_2 we have at least $\left(\begin{array}{c} p-1 \\ 2k \end{array}\right)$ distinct elements with weight $2kp^2$.

Case 2. α is a sum of an odd number of μ_i's. Thus, for $k' \geq 1$,

$$\alpha = \mu_{i_1} + \cdots + \mu_{i_{2k'-1}} = \hat{G} - \hat{a}(b^{i_1} + \cdots + b^{i_{2k'-1}}),$$

which is an element of weight

$$p^3 - (2k'-1)p^2 = p^2(p - 2k' + 1) = 2kp^2$$

(where $k' = \frac{p+1-2k}{2}$). Hence, in I_2, there are $\left(\begin{array}{c} p-1 \\ 2k'-1 \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} p-1 \\ p-2k \end{array}\right)$ distinct elements with weight $2kp^2$.

Therefore, for each $k \geq 1$, there are $\left(\begin{array}{c} p-1 \\ 2k \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} p-1 \\ p-2k \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} p \\ 2k \end{array}\right)$ elements of weight $2kp^2$ in I_2.

Similarly, an $\mathbb{F}_2$-basis for the code I_3 is

$$\gamma = \{\delta_i = e_3a^i = \widehat{G} - \widehat{a^p}\widehat{b}a^i | 1 \leq i \leq p-1\}.$$

For $1 \leq i \neq j \leq p-1$, $supp(\widehat{a^p}\widehat{b}a^i) \cap supp(\widehat{a^p}\widehat{b}a^j) = \emptyset$. Hence, for an element $\alpha \in I_3$, we have:

Case 1. α is a sum of an even number of δ_i's. Thus

$$\alpha = \delta_{i_1} + \cdots + \delta_{i_{2k}} = 2k\hat{G} - \widehat{a^p}\widehat{b}(a^{i_1} + \cdots + a^{i_{2k}}),$$

which is an element of weight $2kp^2$. Besides, in I_3 we have at least $\left(\begin{array}{c} p-1 \\ 2k \end{array}\right)$ distinct such elements with weight $2kp^2$.

Case 2. α is a sum of an odd number of δ_i's. Thus, for $k' \geq 1$,

$$\alpha = \delta i_1 + \cdots + \delta_{i_{2k'-1}} = \widehat{G} - \widehat{a^p}\widehat{b}(a^{i_1} + \cdots + a^{i_{2k'-1}}),$$

which is an element of weight

$$p^3 - (2k'-1)p^2 = p^2(p - 2k' + 1) = 2kp^2,$$

where $k' = \frac{p+1-2k}{2}$. Hence, in I_3, there are $\left(\begin{array}{c} p-1 \\ 2k'-1 \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} p-1 \\ p-2k \end{array}\right)$ distinct elements with weight $2kp^2$.

Therefore, also in I_3, for each $k \geq 1$, there are $\left(\begin{array}{c} p-1 \\ 2k \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} p-1 \\ p-2k \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} p \\ 2k \end{array}\right)$ elements of weight $2kp^2$.

As $\sum_{k=1}^{(p-1)/2} \left(\begin{array}{c} p \\ 2k \end{array}\right) = 2^{p-1}$, this proves that the weight distribution of I_2 and I_3 are the same, but I_2 and I_3 are not equivalent. Besides, the weight of these codes is $2p^2$. ■

Observe that the group G of Proposition IV.1 has exponent p^2 and $\tau(p^2) = 3$, however, $\mathbb{F}_2G$ has four inequivalent minimal codes. This is a counterexample to Theorem A.

Notice that Proposition IV.2 actually exhibits a counterexample also to Theorem B.

In the following proposition, we study the minimal codes in $\mathbb{F}_2(C_{p^n} \times C_p)$, for an odd prime p and $n \geq 3$. Its proof is similar to the proof of Proposition IV.1. This gives a whole family of counterexamples to Theorem A.

Proposition IV.3. *Let $n \geq 3$ be a positive integer and p an odd prime such that $\bar{2}$ generates $U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2})$ and $G = \langle a\rangle \times \langle b\rangle$ be an abelian group, with $o(a) = p^n$ and $o(b) = p$. Then the minimal codes of $\mathbb{F}_2G$ are described in the following table.*

Code	Dimension	Weight
$I_0 = \langle\widehat{a}\widehat{b}\rangle = \langle\widehat{G}\rangle$	1	p^{n+1}
$I_1 = \langle\widehat{\langle a^p\rangle \times \langle b\rangle} - \widehat{G}\rangle$	$p-1$	$2p^n$
$I_{1i} = \langle\widehat{ab^i} - \widehat{G}\rangle$ $i = 0, \ldots, p-1$	$p-1$	$2p^n$
$I_2 = \langle\widehat{\langle a^{p^2}\rangle \times \langle b\rangle} - \widehat{\langle a^p\rangle \times \langle b\rangle}\rangle$	$p(p-1)$	$2p^{n-1}$
$I_{2i} = \langle\widehat{a^pb^i} - \widehat{\langle a^p\rangle \times \langle b\rangle}\rangle$ $i = 1, \ldots, p-1$	$p(p-1)$	$2p^{n-1}$
$\ldots$	$\ldots$	
$I_k = \langle\widehat{\left\langle a^{p^k}\right\rangle \times \langle b\rangle} - \widehat{\left\langle a^{p^{k-1}}\right\rangle \times \langle b\rangle}\rangle$	$p^{k-1}(p-1)$	$2p^{n-k+1}$
$I_{ki} = \langle\widehat{a^{p^{k-1}}b^i} - \widehat{\left\langle a^{p^{k-1}}\right\rangle \times \langle b\rangle}\rangle$ $i = 1, \ldots, p-1$	$p^{k-1}(p-1)$	$2p^{n-k+1}$
$\ldots$	$\ldots$	
$I_{n-1} = \langle\widehat{\langle b\rangle} - \widehat{\left\langle a^{p^{n-2}}\right\rangle \times \langle b\rangle}\rangle$	$p^{(n-1)}(p-1)$	$2p$
$I_{n-1,i} = \langle\widehat{a^{p^{n-1}}b^i} - \widehat{\left\langle a^{p^{n-2}}\right\rangle \times \langle b\rangle}\rangle$ $i = 1, \ldots, p-1$	$p^{(n-1)}(p-1)$	$2p$

There are $2n$ inequivalent minimal codes in $\mathbb{F}_2(C_{p^n} \times C_p)$.

The following table presents the correspondence between the classes of G-isomorphisms of subgroups and the G-equivalence classes of minimal codes in $\mathbb{F}_2(C_{p^n} \times C_p)$, listing representatives of these classes.

Subgroups	Codes
G	$I_0 = \langle\widehat{G}\rangle$
$\langle a\rangle$	$I_{11} = \langle\widehat{a} - \widehat{G}\rangle$
$\langle a^p\rangle \times \langle b\rangle$	$I_1 = \langle\widehat{\langle a^p\rangle \times \langle b\rangle} - \widehat{G}\rangle$
$\langle a^pb\rangle$	$I_{21} = \langle\widehat{a^pb} - \widehat{\langle a^p\rangle \times \langle b\rangle}\rangle$
$\left\langle a^{p^2}\right\rangle \times \langle b\rangle$	$I_2 = \langle\widehat{\langle a^{p^2}\rangle \times \langle b\rangle} - \widehat{\langle a^p\rangle \times \langle b\rangle}\rangle$
$\ldots$	$\ldots$
$\left\langle a^{p^k}b\right\rangle$	$I_{k+1,1} = \langle\widehat{a^{p^k}b} - \widehat{\left\langle a^{p^k}\right\rangle \times \langle b\rangle}\rangle$
$\left\langle a^{p^{k+1}}\right\rangle \times \langle b\rangle$	$I_{k+1} = \langle\widehat{\langle a^{pk+1}\rangle \times \langle b\rangle} - \widehat{\left\langle a^{p^k}\right\rangle \times \langle b\rangle}\rangle$
$\ldots$	$\ldots$
$\langle b\rangle$	$I_{n-1} = \langle\widehat{b} - \widehat{\langle a^{p^n-1}\rangle \times \langle b\rangle}\rangle$

V. A POSITIVE RESULT FOR CODES

In [4] we showed that Theorem A holds in the special case of minimal codes in $\mathbb{F}_2(C_{p^n} \times C_{p^n})$. We now prove this Theorem in a more general situation.

Lemma V.1. *If H is a cyclic subgroup of order p^s in a group $G \cong \underbrace{C_{p^r} \times \cdots \times C_{p^r}}_{m}$, with $s \leq r$, then there exists a cyclic subgroup of G, of order p^r, containing H.*

Proof: Write $G = \langle g_1\rangle \times \cdots \times \langle g_m\rangle$, with $o(g_i) = p^r$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Since H is a cyclic subgroup of G, we have $H = \langle g_1^{j_1p^{t_1}} g_2^{j_2p^{t_2}} \cdots g_m^{j_mp^{t_m}}\rangle$, with $\gcd(j_i, p) = 1$ and $0 \leq t_i \leq r$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then one of the exponents t_k, $1 \leq k \leq m$, is minimal and, for such t_k, we consider the element $a = g_1^{j_1p^{t_1-t_k}} \cdots g_k^{j_kp^0} \cdots g_m^{j_mp^{t_m-t_k}} \in G$. As $\gcd(j_k, p) = 1$, we have $o(a) = p^r$ and $\langle a^{p^{t_k}}\rangle = H$, as $G \cong \underbrace{C_{p^r} \times \cdots \times C_{p^r}}_{m}$. ■

Theorem V.2. *Let m and r be positive integers. If $G = \underbrace{C_{p^r} \times \cdots \times C_{p^r}}_{m}$ is a finite abelian p-group, then any co-cyclic subgroup of G contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\underbrace{C_{p^r} \times \cdots \times C_{p^r}}_{(m-1)}$. Hence the subgroups of G isomorphic to $\underbrace{C_{p^r} \times \cdots \times C_{p^r}}_{(m-1)}$ are precisely the minimal co-cyclic subgroups of G.*

Proof: Let $H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$. Then, by Theorem II.13(3), $H^\perp$ is a cyclic subgroup of G^*. By Theorem II.13(1) and Lemma V.1, there exists $K^\perp \cong C_{p^r}$ a subgroup of G^* such that $H^\perp \subset K^\perp$. Then $K = \Psi^{-1}(K^\perp)$ is a subgroup of G such that $K \cong \underbrace{C_{p^r} \times \cdots \times C_{p^r}}_{(m-1)}$ and $K \subset H$, proving the theorem. ■

As a consequence of the results above, we get:

Proposition V.3. *Let m and r be positive integers. If $G = \underbrace{C_{p^r} \times \cdots \times C_{p^r}}_{m}$ is a finite abelian p-group and $\mathbb{F}$ is a field with $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq p$, then a primitive idempotent of $\mathbb{F}G$ is of the form $\widehat{K} \cdot e_h$, where K is a subgroup of G isomorphic to*

$\underbrace{C_{p^r} \times \cdots \times C_{p^r}}_{(m-1)}$ and e_h is a primitive idempotent of $\mathbb{F}\langle h\rangle$, where $h \in G$ is such that $G = \langle h\rangle \times K$ and $\langle h\rangle \cong C_{p^r}$.

Proof: Let e be a primitive idempotent of $\mathbb{F}G$ and H be the unique co-cyclic subgroup of G such that $e = \widehat{H}\cdot e$, by Theorem **??**. By Theorem V.2, there exists $K \cong \underbrace{C_{p^r} \times \cdots \times C_{p^r}}_{(m-1)}$ contained in H such that $e\widehat{K} = e$.

Let $h \in G$ be such that $G/K = \langle Kh\rangle$. Since $|G/K| = p^r$ and $exp(G) = p^r$, it follows directly that $o(h) = p^r$. Hence, we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} e &= \widehat{K}\cdot e \\ &= \widehat{K}\left[\left(\sum_{g\in K}\alpha_{0,g}g\right)1 + \cdots + \left(\sum_{g\in K}\alpha_{p^r-1,g}g\right)h^{p^r-1}\right] \\ &= \widehat{K}\left(\beta_0\cdot 1 + \beta_1\cdot h + \cdots + \beta_{p^r-1}\cdot h^{p^r-1}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where $\beta_i = \sum_{g\in K}\alpha_{i,g} \in \mathbb{F}$ and $h^t \notin K$, $1 \le t \le p^r - 1$.

Let $\psi : G \longrightarrow G/K$ be the natural homomorphism. Since $e = \widehat{K}\cdot e$ is an idempotent in $\mathbb{F}G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(e) &= \psi(\widehat{K}\cdot e) \\ &= \psi\left(\widehat{K}(\beta_0\cdot 1 + \beta_1\cdot h + \cdots + \beta_{p^r-1}\cdot h^{p^r-1})\right) \\ &= \beta_0\cdot 1 + \beta_1\cdot h + \cdots + \beta_{p^r-1}\cdot h^{p^r-1} \end{aligned}$$

is also an idempotent.

Claim: $e_h = \psi(e) = \beta_0\cdot 1 + \beta_1\cdot h + \cdots + \beta_{p^r-1}\cdot h^{p^r-1}$ is a primitive idempotent in $\mathbb{F}\langle h\rangle \cong \mathbb{F}(G/K)$.

Indeed, if $e_h = e_1 + e_2$, with e_1 and e_2 orthogonal idempotents in $\mathbb{F}\langle h\rangle$, then

$$e = \widehat{K}\cdot e_h = \widehat{K}\cdot e_1 + \widehat{K}\cdot e_2,$$

with $(\widehat{K}\cdot e_i)^2 = \widehat{K}\cdot e_i$, for $i = 1, 2$, and $\widehat{K}\cdot e_1\widehat{K}\cdot e_2 = 0$, as $e_1\cdot e_2 = 0$. Since e is a primitive idempotent, then either $\widehat{K}\cdot e_1 = 0$ or $\widehat{K}\cdot e_2 = 0$. Suppose $\widehat{K}\cdot e_1 = 0$ and write $e_1 = \gamma_0\cdot 1 + \gamma_1\cdot h + \gamma_2\cdot h^2 + \cdots + \gamma_{p^r-1}\cdot h^{p^r-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \widehat{K}\cdot e_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{|K|}\left(\sum_{k\in K}k\right)(\gamma_0 + \gamma_1\cdot h + \cdots + \gamma_{p^r-1}\cdot h^{p^r-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{|K|}\left[\left(\sum_{k\in K}\gamma_0 k\right) + \cdots + \left(\sum_{k\in K}\gamma_{p^r-1}k\right)h^{p^r-1}\right] \end{aligned}$$

implies $\gamma_i = 0$, $0 \le i \le p^r - 1$, as the summands in (13) have disjoint supports in $\mathbb{F}G$. This proves the proposition. ■

These results can be applied and extended as follows.

Corollary V.4. *Let m and r be positive integers, a finite abelian p-group $G = \underbrace{C_{p^r} \times \cdots \times C_{p^r}}_{m}$ and $\mathbb{F}_q$ a finite field with q elements such that $o(\bar{q}) = \phi(p^r)$ in $U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^r})$. Then the minimal abelian codes in $\mathbb{F}_qG$ are as follows:*

Primitive Idempotent	Dimension	Weight
$\widehat{G}$	1	p^{rm}
$\widehat{K}(\widehat{h^p} - \widehat{h})$	$p-1$	$2p^{r(m-1)+(r-1)}$
$\widehat{K}(\widehat{h^{p^2}} - \widehat{h^p})$	$p(p-1)$	$2p^{r(m-1)+(r-2)}$
$\widehat{K}(\widehat{h^{p^3}} - \widehat{h^{p^2}})$	$p^2(p-1)$	$2p^{r(m-1)-(r-3)}$
$\cdots$	$\cdots$	
$\widehat{K}(\widehat{h^{p^i}} - \widehat{h^{p^{i-1}}})$	$p^{i-1}(p-1)$	$2p^{r(m-1)-(r-i)}$
$\cdots$	$\cdots$	
$\widehat{K}(1 - \widehat{h^{p^{r-1}}})$	$p^{r-1}(p-1)$	$2p^{r(m-1)}$

where h is as in Proposition V.3. Consequently, the number of non G-equivalent minimal abelian codes is $r + 1 = \tau(p^r)$.

Corollary V.5. *Let $n \ge 2$ be an integer, $G = \underbrace{C_n \times \cdots \times C_n}_{m}$ an abelian group and $\mathbb{F}_q$ a finite field such that $\gcd(q, n) = 1$. Then the primitive idempotents of $\mathbb{F}_qG$ are of the form $\widehat{K}\cdot e_h$, where K is a subgroup of G isomorphic to $\underbrace{C_n \times \cdots \times C_n}_{(m-1)}$, $h \in G$ is such that $G = K \times \langle h\rangle$ and e_h is a primitive idempotent of $\mathbb{F}_q\langle h\rangle$.*

Proof: Let $n = p_1^{n_1}p_2^{n_2}\cdots p_t^{n_t}$, with p_i rational primes, $1 \le i \le t$, and $p_i \ne p_j$, for $i \ne j$ and $n_i \ge 1$. Let $G_i = \underbrace{C_{p_i^{r_i}} \times \cdots \times C_{p_i^{r_i}}}_{m}$ be the p_i-Sylow subgroup of G.

Let $0 \ne e \in \mathbb{F}_qG$ be a primitive idempotent. By Lemma II.9, there exists a unique $H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$ such that $e\cdot e_H = e$ and $e\cdot e_K = 0$, for any other $K \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$, with $K \ne H$. By (2), $e_H = e_{H_1}e_{H_2}\cdots e_{H_t}$, where $e_{H_i} = \widehat{G_i}$ or $e_{H_i} = \widehat{H_i} - \widehat{H_i^\sharp}$, for H_i the p_i-Sylow of H. Hence, as $0 \ne e$ is primitive, we have

$$e\cdot e_H = e \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad e\cdot e_{H_i} = e.$$

By Proposition **??** and Theorem V.2, there exists $K_i = \underbrace{C_{p_i^{r_i}} \times \cdots \times C_{p_i^{r_i}}}_{(m-1)}$ a subgroup of G_i such that $K_i \le H_i$ and $e = e\cdot\widehat{K_i}$, for all $1 \le i \le t$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} e &= e\cdot\widehat{K_1} = e\cdot\widehat{K_2}\widehat{K_1} \\ &\cdots \\ &= e\cdot\widehat{K_t}\cdots\widehat{K_2}\widehat{K_1} = e\widehat{K_t\cdots K_2}K_1 \\ &\cong e(\underbrace{C_n \widehat{\times \cdots} \times C_n}_{(m-1)\text{ factors}}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $K = \underbrace{C_n \times \cdots \times C_n}_{(m-1)\text{ factors}}$. Then $G/K \cong C_n$. Take $a \in G$ such that $G/K = \langle Ka\rangle$. Similarly to the proof of Theorem V.3, we show that $o(a) = n$ and that $\psi(e)$ is a primitive idempotent of $\mathbb{F}_q\langle a\rangle$, where $\psi : \mathbb{F}_qG \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q(G/K)$. ■

Using the results from this section and from Section III, we obtain the following.

Theorem V.6. *Let G be a finite abelian group of exponent n and $\mathbb{F}$ a finite field such that $char(\mathbb{F}) \not| |G|$. Then the number* *of non G-equivalent minimal abelian codes is precisely $\tau(n)$ if and only if G is a direct product of cyclic groups isomorphic to one another.*

REFERENCES

[1] S.D. Berman, *Semisimple cyclic and abelian codes, II*, Kybernetika **3** (1967) 21-30.

[2] O. Broche and A. del Río, *Wedderburn decomposition of finite group algebras*, Finite Fields and their Applications **13** (2007) 71-79.

[3] R.A. Ferraz and C. Polcino Milies, *Idempotents in group algebras and minimal abelian codes*, Finite Fields and their Applications **13** (2007) 382-393.

[4] R.A. Ferraz, M. Guerreiro and C. Polcino Milies, *Minimal codes in binary abelian group algebras*, Information Theory Workshop (ITW) IEEE (2011) 225-228. (Digital Object Identifier: 10.1109/ITW.2011.6089383)

[5] E.G. Goodaire, E. Jespers and C. Polcino Milies, *Alternative Loop Rings*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies **184**, Amsterdam, Holland: Elsevier, 1996.

[6] C.J. Hillar and D.L. Rhea, *Automorphisms of finite abelian groups*, American Math. Monthly **114** N. 10 (2007) 917-923.

[7] E. Jespers, G. Leal and A. Paques, *Central idempotents in the rational group algebra of a finite nilpotent group*, Journal of Algebra and its Applications **2** No. 1 (2003) 57-62.

[8] F.J. MacWilliams,*Binary codes which are ideals in the group algebra of an abelian group*, Bell System Tech. Journal **44** (1970) 987-1011.

[9] R.L. Miller, *Minimal codes in abelian group algebras*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A **26** (1979) 166-178.

[10] A. Olivieri, A. del Río and J.J. Simón, *On monomial characters and central idempotents of rational group algebras*, Comm. Algebra **32** (4) (2004) 1531-1550.

[11] C. Polcino Milies and S.K. Sehgal, *An Introduction to Group Rings*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht???, 2002.

[12] J.J. Rotman, *An Introduction to the Theory of Groups*, 4th Ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.